arXiv:astro-ph/0101432v1 24 Jan 2001

ISSUES OF LIBEB, OXYGEN AND IRON EVOLUTION

REUVEN RAMATY
NASA/GSFC, Greenbelt, MD, USA, ramaty@gsfc.nasa.gov

RICHARD E. LINGENFELTER
University of California, San Diego, USA, RICHL@cass01.ucsd.edu

BENZION KOZLOVSKY
Tel Aviv University, Israel, benz@wise1.tau.ac.il

We discuss the highlights of our recent research, specifically the refractory vs. volatile interpretation of the rise of [O/Fe] with decreasing [Fe/H], and the issue of primary vs. secondary evolution of Be.

1 Introduction

The evolution of the light elements, Li, Be, B (LiBeB), is an important ingredient in studies of cosmic evolution. Closely related are the evolutions of O and Fe, because [LiBeB/H] are measured as functions of either [Fe/H] or [O/H]. In several recent papers we treated in detail LiBeB production[1] by cosmic rays, and LiBeB, O and Fe evolution[2,3]. We emphasized a model in which the cosmic ray source composition is independent of [Fe/H], based on cosmic ray acceleration in metal enriched superbubbles[4,5]. In the evolutionary calculations, we employed a Monte Carlo approach in which supernova explosions are treated as discrete events in time. This approach allowed us to introduce delays between the time of the explosion and the deposition time of the nucleosynthetic products into star formation regions. For the LiBeB the delays are due to the transport of the cosmic rays. For refractory supernova synthesized elements, e.g. Fe, the delay is due to the slowing down time of fast moving supernova grains, provided that the bulk of the ejecta are in such grains, suggested by observation of the width of the 1.809 MeV gamma ray line from ^{26}Al decay. Below we briefly summarize our most important results.

2 Discussion

We showed that the rise of [O/Fe] with decreasing [Fe/H], recently confirmed[6], could be due to the delayed deposition of refractory Fe relative to the mostly volatile O. This model has the advantage over several other models, e.g.[7], because it provides a simple explanation for the observation that the abun-

dance ratios of the refractory α-nuclei Mg, Si, Ca and Ti relative to Fe do not increase with decreasing [Fe/H] below [Fe/H] = -1. The reason being that the bulk of these refractories should also be in grains and thus behave like Fe. On the other hand, the model predicts[3] that volatile S should behave like O, a trend which appears to be confirmed[8].

We showed[2] that secondary Be evolution, due to production by cosmic rays accelerated out of the average metal poor ISM in the early Galaxy, is energetically untenable, the increase of the O abundance at low [Fe/H] notwithstanding. On the other hand, by employing a cosmic ray origin model[5], in which the cosmic rays are accelerated in superbubbles out of a suprathermal population generated from the sputtering of, and scattering by fast supernova grains, we demonstrated[2,3] that primary Be evolution, entirely consistent with cosmic ray energetics, can well account for the observations, e.g.[9] The fact that the slope of log(Be/H) was found[9] to be steeper vs. [O/H] than vs. [Fe/H] (1.45$\pm$0.04 and 0.96$\pm$0.04, respectively) appears to suggest a combination of primary and secondary evolutions. However, we have shown[3] that if the delay of Be deposition due to cosmic ray transport is taken into account, the observed trend vs. [O/H] is consistent with primary evolution. The often mentioned notion that the trend of Be vs. O is more relevant than vs. Fe is a misconception, because at low [Fe/H] Be is produced by cosmic ray O which is not accelerated out of the average ISM.

References

1. R. Ramaty, B. Kozlovsky, R.E. Lingenfelter and H. Reeves, *Astrophys. J.* **488**, 730 (1997).
2. R. Ramaty, S. Scully, R.E. Lingenfelter and B. Kozlovsky, *Astrophys. J.* **534**, 747 (2000).
3. R. Ramaty, R.E. Lingenfelter and B. Kozlovsky, in *The Light Elements and their Evolution*, (IAU Symp. 198., Astron. Soc. Pacific, 2000), ed. L. de Silva et al., p. 51, astro-ph/0001466.
4. H.C. Higdon, R.E. Lingenfelter and R. Ramaty *Astrophys. J.* **500**, L33 (1998).
5. R.E Lingenfelter, J.C. Higdon and R. Ramaty, in *Acceleration and Transport of Energetic Particles Observed in the Heliosphere*, (AIP Conf. Proc. 528, 2000), eds. R. Mewaldt et al., p. 375, astro-ph/0004166.
6. G. Israelian et al., *Astrophys. J.*, in press, astro-ph/0101032.
7. A. Goswami and N. Prantzos, *Astron. Astrophys.* **359**, 191 (2000).
8. Y. Takeda et al. *Astrophys. J.* (2000) submitted.
9. A. Boesgaard et al. *Astron. J.* **117**, 1549 (1999).